



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/760,506		01/12/2001	Charlotte Kensil	8449-153 2171		
20583	7590	07/28/2005		EXAMINER		
JONES D			QIAN, CELINE X			
222 EAST NEW YO		10017	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1636		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/760,506	KENSIL, CHARLOTTE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief									
Delote the Filling of all Appear Direct	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Celine X. Qian Ph.D.	1636							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 13 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		<b>.</b>							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 13 July 2005. A brief i date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			` ,						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s).	M will not be entered or b) □ w	ill be entered and an	avalenation of						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>31,33-38,40 and 44-46</u> .	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 31,33-38,40 and 44-46.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the affida o	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	<u>iot</u> be entered is necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ills to provide a (1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	lered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition fo	r allowance						
See Continuation Sheet.									
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)							
13. Other:									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment introduces new limitation to the claims and presented additional new claims. Newly added claims would require further consideration and search. Further, addition of "chemical modified form" of the claimed compound raises potential 112 1st issue which further consideration is required. Therefore, the proposed amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment introduces new limitation and new claims that would require further search and consideration for reasons given above. As such, they are not in condition for allowance.

> **CELIAN CIAN** PATENT EXAMINER

2